



INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

Minutes
Roadway Agreement Committee
Public Main Conference Room
May 15, 2019

Members Present: Jon Weiss – Planning, Environmental & Development Services Dept. (Chair)
Diana Almodovar – Development Engineering Division (Vice-Chair)
Raymond Williams – Engineering Division
Renzo Nastasi – Transportation Planning Division
Christine Lofye – Traffic Engineering Division
Jeff Sponenburg – Real Estate Management Division
Eric Raasch – Planning Division

County Staff Present: Roberta Alfonso – County Attorney’s Office
Jennifer Cummings – Public Works Engineering Division
Yahaira Gines-Rios – Public Works Engineering Division
Luis Alvan – Development Engineering
Nannette Chiesa – Transportation Planning Division

Mr. Weiss called the meeting to order at 9:08 a.m.

Public Comment

Mr. Weiss inquired as to Public Comment - no members of the public wished to speak.

Approval of Minutes

The Committee reviewed the minutes from the May 1, 2019 Roadway Agreement Committee (RAC) Meeting.

- Page 4, line 166 strike last bullet and replace with “Discussion regarding installing a signal and placing it on flash.”

Ms. Almodovar made a motion, with a second by Mr. Raasch, to approve the May 1, 2019 Roadway Agreement Committee Meeting Minutes with changes discussed. Motion carried unanimously.

Activity Summary

Ms. Chiesa informed the Committee that originals of the Developco Right-of-Way and APF Agreement has been submitted, and are being scheduled in conjunction with a DRC item for June 18th BCC.

Mr. Weiss updated the agenda to postpone the committee discussion regarding evidence of title and joinders for proportionate share agreements and continue the discussion of Village I also.

RAC CONSENT AGENDA ITEM:

- Gentry Park Phase 2B (Dean Road & Lake Underhill Road)

Ms. Chiesa advised that the agreement was routed to the technical review group prior to today’s meeting, comments were addressed, and the executed version was distributed to the Committee Members. If approved today the agreement will be scheduled on the June 4th BCC.

Ms. Almodovar made a motion, with a second by Mr. Williams, to approve the Proportionate Share Agreements for Gentry Park Phase 2B as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

RAC AGENDA ITEMS:

- None

C.R. 545 COMMITTEE DISCUSSION – 3 Items

Mr. Nastasi handed out an email from Jim Cooper to the Committee.

Item 1: Signal at Flamingo Crossings

Mr. Nastasi summarized the following:

- There are 5 intersections in which traffic signals need to be addressed in Village H
- A previous discussion between Mr. Cooper and Mr. Testerman that developers (Cooper & Titan) agreed to split the cost of the Flamingo Crossings signal, but nothing is in writing.
- Through the DRC process Ms. Almodovar and Mr. Raasch agreed to place a condition of approval on the DP that states prior to certificate of occupancy the stand alone signal must be installed at the ultimate location. Developer will not receive credits for this signal.

Item 2: Remaining Signals on C.R. 545

Mr. Nastasi summarized the following:

- At the previous RAC meeting language addressing signals needs to be included in all agreements. Challenge is that PDS identifies based on 20-year projection and need a mid-year warrant study at 10 years.
- Four other intersections that were identified in the PDS on Schofield Road, Phil Ritson Way, Old YMCA Road, Seidel Road. Mr. Nastasi stated these four signals will require an amendment to the Village H agreement, and proposes that impact fee credits are granted since the road is under construction.
- Mr. Cooper's email states the signals at Old YMCA Road and Phil Ritson Road are too close together. Mr. Nastasi asked Ms. Lofye to confirm the spacing.

Item 3: Design Cost Issues

Mr. Nastasi provided an overview of the current issue regarding the release of trips in Village H, and why Orange County is holding the credits and trips.

Construction Cost the original Village H agreement states they cannot exceed 60% of the countywide average cost of road construction per lane mile which was \$2.6 million in 2010.

- Village H has billed the County \$11.5 million for construction cost
- The length of the project is 3 miles (3 x 4 x \$2.6 = roughly \$29 million) well below the 60%

In regards to the design cost the original Village H agreement states overages over 10% must be approved by the BCC.

- County Engineer approved \$1,376,925.00 in September 2015
- In April 2018 the submitted design invoices exceeds well over 10% of the engineer's estimate
 - The design overage was not sent to the BCC
 - The County inadvertently released the trips and the credits
- April 2019 invoice submitted was for \$8.8 million for 75% of construction cost only
- Issues with design was included inappropriate drainage structures.
- Process: cost would be approved by the County Engineer and the Project Engineer is responsible for reviewing all the invoices.
 - E-projects invoices would be reviewed by Development Engineering, and CIP projects are reviewed by the Engineering Division.

Mr. Weiss adjourned the meeting at 10:09 a.m.

Section 286.0105, Florida Statutes states that if a person decides to appeal any decision made by a board, agency, or commission with respect to any matter considered at a meeting or hearing, he or she will need a record of the proceedings, and that, for such purpose, he may need to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made, which record includes the testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based.

In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), if any person with a disability as defined by the ADA needs special accommodation to participate in this proceeding, then not later than two business days prior to the proceeding, he or she should contact the Orange County Communications Division at (407) 836-5631.

Para mayor información en español, por favor llame al (407) 836-3111.